

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

RAW STORY MEDIA, INC., ALTERNET  
MEDIA, INC.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

OPENAI, INC., OPENAI GP, LLC,  
OPENAI, LLC, OPENAI OPCO LLC,  
OPENAI GLOBAL LLC, OAI  
CORPORATION, LLC, and OPENAI  
HOLDINGS, LLC,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:24-cv-01514-CM-OTW

**DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY  
IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS**

Defendants OpenAI, Inc., OpenAI GP, L.L.C., OpenAI, L.L.C., OpenAI OpCo, L.L.C., OpenAI Global, L.L.C., OAI Corporation, L.L.C., and OpenAI Holdings, L.L.C. (collectively, “OpenAI”), by and through counsel, respectfully submit this Notice of Supplemental Authority to apprise the Court of a recent order that further supports OpenAI’s pending Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. 68).

In *Andersen, et al. v. Stability AI Ltd., et al.*, No. 23-cv-00201 (N.D. Cal.), the plaintiffs alleged that several defendants “directly copied [plaintiffs’ works] and used [them] as training data” for artificial intelligence models and that the “training process [for those models] is designed to remove or alter CMI from the training images” in violation of Section 1202(b)(1) of the Digital Millennium Copyright Act (“DMCA”). See First Amended Complaint, *Andersen*, No. 23-cv-00201, Dkt. 129 ¶¶ 245, 300, 367 (attached hereto as Exhibit 1). On August 12, 2024, the United States District Court for the Northern District of California dismissed each of the Section 1202(b)

claims with prejudice. *See* Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Motions to Dismiss First Amended Complaint, *Andersen*, No. 23-cv-00201, Dkt. 223 at 11–13 & n.13 (attached hereto as Exhibit 2). In so doing, the Court endorsed the defendants’ argument that “there can be no liability for any removal of CMI that occurred during the training process” when “the output images are [] not *identical* to the Training Images,” holding that the Section 1202(b) claims failed “[b]ecause there are no allegations that any output from [the models] was identical to a plaintiff’s work.” *Id.*

Dated: August 22, 2024

Respectfully Submitted,

By: /s/ Elana Nightingale Dawson

**LATHAM & WATKINS LLP**

Andrew M. Gass (*pro hac vice*)

*andrew.gass@lw.com*

Joseph R. Wetzel

*joseph.wetzel@lw.com*

505 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000

San Francisco, CA 94111

Telephone: 415.391.0600

Sarang V. Damle

*sy.damle@lw.com*

Elana Nightingale Dawson (*pro hac vice*)

*elana.nightingaledawson@lw.com*

555 Eleventh Street, NW, Suite 1000

Washington, D.C. 20004

Telephone: 202.637.2200

Allison L. Stillman

*alli.stillman@lw.com*

Luke A. Budiardjo

*luke.budiardjo@lw.com*

Yijun Zhong

*elaine.zhong@lw.com*

1271 Avenue of the Americas

New York, NY 10020

Telephone: 212.751.4864

By: /s/ Allyson R. Bennett

**MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP**

Joseph C. Gratz (*pro hac vice*)

*jgratz@mofo.com*

Vera Ranieri (*pro hac vice*)

*vranieri@mofo.com*

Andrew L. Perito (*pro hac vice*)

*aperito@mofo.com*

425 Market Street

San Francisco, CA 94105

Telephone: 415.268.7522

Allyson R. Bennett (*pro hac vice*)\*

*abennett@mofo.com*

Rose S. Lee (*pro hac vice*)

*roselee@mofo.com*

707 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 6000

Los Angeles, CA 90017-3543  
Telephone: 213.892.5454

Eric Nikolaides  
*enikolaides@mofo.com*  
250 W 55th Street  
New York, NY 10019  
Telephone: 212.468.8000

By: /s/ Paven Malhotra

**KEKER, VAN NEST & PETERS LLP**

Robert A. Van Nest (*pro hac vice*)  
*rvannest@keker.com*  
Paven Malhotra (*pro hac vice*)\*  
*pmalhotra@keker.com*  
Michelle S. Ybarra (*pro hac vice*)  
*mybarra@keker.com*  
Nicholas S. Goldberg (*pro hac vice*)  
*ngoldberg@keker.com*  
Thomas E. Gorman (*pro hac vice*)  
*tgorman@keker.com*  
Katie Lynn Joyce (*pro hac vice*)  
*kjoyce@keker.com*  
R. James Slaughter (*pro hac vice*)  
*rslaughter@keker.com*  
Christopher S. Sun (*pro hac vice*)  
*csun@keker.com*  
633 Battery Street  
San Francisco, CA 94111-1809  
Telephone: 415.391.5400

*Attorneys for OpenAI Defendants*

---

\* All parties whose electronic signatures are included herein have consented to the filing of this document, as contemplated by Rule 8.5(b) of the Court's ECF Rules and Instructions.